Appendix 2

Appendix 2				
PROPOSAL FORM FOR AGENDA ITEMS FOR SCRUTINY COMMITTEES				
NAME OF SCRUTINY Partnerships Scrutiny Committee COMMITTEE				
DATE OF MEETING / TIMESCALE FOR CONSIDERATION	If possible, to add to 10 th June meeting with Health			
TITLE OF REPORT	Development of a Single Point of Access for Health & Social Care in Denbighshire			
Why is the report being proposed? (see also the checklist overleaf)	To update the committee on the development of an integrated single point of access to community health and Social Care services within Denbighshire. This is an SSIA demonstrator project which is expected to go live in October 2013.			
P 2. What issues are to be U scrutinised?	Partnership Working with Health (S33 Partnership Agreement is being prepared as part of the project), Service Modernisation and Efficiency, improved Customer Service			
O 3. Is it S necessary/desirable for witnesses to attend e.g. lead members, officers/external experts?	BCU representative (Wyn Thomas), Project Director (Phil Gilroy), Project Manager (Liz Grieve)			
4. What will the committee achieve by considering the report?	An understanding of the movement of Adult Social Services towards a new model of service delivery			
5. Score the topic from 0	Aims & Priorities	Impact		
 4 on aims & priorities and impact (see overleaf)* 	3	4		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	The SPA Project comes under the Modernising Social Services Programme, and links to the successful Regional Collaboration Fund bid which proposes the development of SPAs across North Wales: Denbighshire SPA is the first such development in the region.			
REPORTING PATH – what is the next step? Are Scrutiny's recommendations to be reported elsewhere?				
AUTHOR	Liz Grieve, Project Mar	nager		
·				

Please complete the following checklist:

	Yes	No
Is the topic already being addressed satisfactorily?		No
Is Scrutiny likely to result in service improvements or other measurable benefits?		
Does the topic concern a poor performing service or a high budgetary commitment?		
Are there adequate resources / realistic possibility of adequate resources to achieve the objective(s)?		
Is the Scrutiny activity timely, i.e. will scrutiny be able to recommend changes to the service delivery, policy, strategy, etc?		
Is the topic linked to corporate or scrutiny aims and priorities?	Yes	
Has the topic been identified as a risk in the Corporate Risk Register or is it the subject of an adverse internal audit or external regulator report?		No

^{*}The following table is to be used to guide the scores given:

Score	Aims & Priorities	Impact
0	No links to corporate/scrutiny	No potential benefits
	aims and priorities	
1	No links to corporate/scrutiny	Minor potential benefits affecting
	aims and priorities but a	only one ward/customer/client group
	subject of high public concern	
2	Some evidence of links, but	Minor benefits to two
	indirect	groups/moderate benefits to one
3	Good evidence linking the	Moderate benefits to more than one
	topic to both aims and	group/substantial benefits to one
	priorities	
4	Strong evidence linking both	Substantial community-wide
	aims and priorities, and has a	benefits
	high level of public concern	

SCORING

Aims & Priorities

Aims & Priorities				
4	Possible topic for Scrutiny – to be timetabled appropriately	Priority topic for Scrutiny – for urgent consideration		
3		3		
2	Reject topic for Scrutiny – topic to be circulated to members for information	Possible topic for Scrutiny – to be timetabled appropriately		
1	purposes			

0 1 2 3 4 Impact